arXiv:1303.4467v3 [quant-ph] 30 May 2013

Uncertainty relations for MUBs and SIC-POVMs in terms of generalized entropies

Alexey E. Rastegin

Department of Theoretical Physics, Irkutsk State University, Gagarin Bv. 20, Irkutsk 664003, Russia

We formulate novel uncertainty relations for mutually unbiased bases and symmetric informationally complete measurements in terms of the Rényi and Tsallis entropies. For arbitrary number of mutually unbiased bases in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, a family of Tsallis α-entropic bounds is derived for $\alpha \in (0;2]$. In terms of Rényi's entropies, lower bounds are given for $\alpha \in [2;\infty)$. State-dependent and state-independent forms of such bounds are both given. Uncertainty relations in terms of the min-entropy are separately considered. We also obtain lower bounds in term of the so-called symmetrized entropies. The presented results for mutually unbiased bases are extensions of various entropic bounds previously derived in the literature. We further formulate new properties and relations for symmetric informationally complete measurements in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. For a given density matrix and arbitrary SIC-POVM, the so-called index of coincidence of generated probability distribution is exactly calculated. Using this result, we obtain state-dependent entropic uncertainty relations for a single SIC-POVM. Lower entropic bounds are derived in terms of the Rényi α-entropies for $\alpha \in [2;\infty)$ and the Tsallis α-entropies for $\alpha \in (0;2]$. Uncertainty relations in terms of the min-entropy are also discussed. For a pair of symmetric informationally complete measurements, we further obtain an entropic bound of Maassen–Uffink type. Both the state-dependent and state-independent formulations are briefly discussed.

Keywords: Rényi entropy, Tsallis entropy, mutually unbiased bases, symmetric informationally complete measurement, index of coincidence

I. INTRODUCTION

Heisenberg's uncertainty principle [1] and the concept of complementarity are fundamentals in understanding limitations of quantum theory. For two noncommuting observables, one cannot find a basis of common eigenstates in a Hilbert space. Hence, we should have some tradeoff relations between two probability distributions generated by measurements of observables on a quantum system. There are various ways to express quantitatively relations of such a kind [2–4]. Entropic functionals provide a natural and flexible tool for expressing an uncertainty in quantum measurements. Entropic uncertainty relations were investigated in many interesting cases (see the reviews [3, 4] and references therein). Although commonly known relations pertain to a pair of measurements, entropic tradeoff between more than two measurements are the subject of active research [3, 5]. This issue is essential in own rights as well as in studying the security of quantum cryptographic protocols [6, 7]. Entropic tradeoff relations were recently formulated for quantum operations [8]. In the context of complementarity, uncertainty relations are particularly relevant with respect to the so-called mutually unbiased bases (MUBs) [9, 10]. Mutually unbiased bases with an explicit construction for them were actually considered by Schwinger [11].

Mutually unbiased bases have found use in quantum state reconstruction [12], quantum error correction codes [13, 14], detection of quantum entanglement [15], the mean king's problem [16, 17]. Various aspects of mutually unbiased bases are reviewed in Ref. [18]. Maximal sets of $d+1$ mutually unbiased bases have been constructed for the case, when d is power of a prime number. When the dimensionality is another composite number, maximal sets of MUBs are an open problem [18]. An entropic uncertainty relation for $d+1$ mutually unbiased bases in d-dimensional Hilbert space were obtained in Refs. [19, 20]. For even d, this relation has been improved [21]. The author of Ref. [20] also gave the exact bounds for the qubit case $d=2$. The exact qubit relations of Ref. [20] have been extended to the case of Tsallis' entropies [22]. Specific uncertainty relations for MUBs and some of their applications were presented in Ref. [23]. These formulations are given in terms of the Shannon entropy and the Rényi entropy of order 2 (or the collision entropy). They are based on the fact that $d+1$ MUBs, if exist, form a spherical 2-design in d-dimensional Hilbert space. The notion of spherical 2-design is also related to symmetric informationally complete measurements (SIC-POVMs). Namely, a spherical 2-design is formed by normalized vectors comprising a SIC-POVM [24, 25].

The aim of the present paper is to study entropic uncertainty relations in terms of the Rényi and Tsallis entropies for MUBs as well as for SIC-POVMs. The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the notation used through the text. Both the concepts of MUBs and SIC-POVMs are briefly recalled. Definitions of the Rényi and Tsallis entropies are discussed as well. In Section III, uncertainty relations for an arbitrary number of MUBs are derived in terms of Tsallis' α-entropies for $\alpha \in (0;2]$ and Rényi's α-entropies for $\alpha \in [2;\infty)$. Both the state-dependent and state-independent formulations are presented. For any set of MUBs, uncertainty relations of Maassen–Uffink type is expressed by means of the symmetrized entropies. In Section V, we examine entropic uncertainty relations for SIC-POVMs. For a single SIC-POVM, state-independent lower bounds on its Tsallis entropy of order $\alpha \in (0;2]$ and on its

Rényi's entropy of order $\alpha \in [2;\infty)$ are derived. For a pair of SIC-POVMs, entropic bounds of Maassen–Uffink type are considered. In this regard, we give a new short proof of Tsallis-entropy and Renyi-entropy uncertainty relations for two rank-one POVMs. In Section VI, we conclude the paper with a summary of results.

II. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

In this section, the required material is reviewed. First, we recall the notion of mutually unbiased bases. Symmetric informationally complete POVMs are briefly discussed as well. Second, definitions of the Rényi and Tsallis entropies are recalled. Some of their properties are listed as well.

A. Mutually unbiased bases and symmetric informationally complete POVMs

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the space of linear operators on d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. By $\mathcal{L}_{+}(\mathcal{H})$, we denote the set of positive semidefinite operators on $\mathcal{H}$. A density operator $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in \mathcal{L}_{+}(\mathcal{H})$ has unit trace, i.e. $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = 1$. For operators $\mathsf{X},\mathsf{Y} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, their Hilbert–Schmidt inner product is defined by [26]

$$\langle \mathsf{X}\,,\mathsf{Y}\rangle_{\mathrm{hs}} := \mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{X}^{\dagger}\mathsf{Y}) \ . \tag{1}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \bigl\{|b_j^{(1)}\rangle\bigr\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \bigl\{|b_k^{(2)}\rangle\bigr\}$ be two orthonormal bases in a d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. These bases are said to be mutually unbiased if and only if for all j and k,

$$\bigl|\langle b_j^{(1)}|b_k^{(2)}\rangle\bigr| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \ . \tag{2}$$

The set $\mathbb{B} = \bigl\{\mathcal{B}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{B}_M\bigr\}$ is a set of mutually unbiased bases, when each two bases from this set are mutually unbiased. Hence, the detection of a particular basis state does not give away any information about the state if it was prepared in another basis. In two dimensions, three eigenbases of the Pauli observables are mutually unbiased. Basic constructions for mutually unbiased bases have been proposed in Refs. [12, 27–29] and reviewed in Ref. [18]. A search of MUBs in dimensions, which are not a prime power, is closely related to studies of complex Hadamard matrices. For the particularly important case $d = 6$, main results are reported in Ref. [30].

We now recall the concept of symmetric informationally complete measurements. Generalized quantum measurements are commonly treated within the POVM formalism [31]. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathsf{N}_j\}$ be a set of elements $\mathsf{N}_j \in \mathcal{L}_{+}(\mathcal{H})$, satisfying the completeness relation

$$\sum\nolimits_j \mathsf{N}_j = \mathbb{1} \ . \tag{3}$$

Here, the $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$. The set $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathsf{N}_j\}$ is a positive operator-valued measure (POVM). It is of key importance that a number of different outcomes can be more than the dimensionality of $\mathcal{H}$. For pre-measurement state $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, the probability of jth outcome is written as [31]

$$p_j(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{N}_j\boldsymbol{\rho}) \ . \tag{4}$$

POVM measurements are an indispensable tool in quantum information theory [26]. A POVM is said to be informationally complete, when its statistics determine completely the quantum state [32–34]. In d-dimensional Hilbert space, one considers a set of d^2 rank-one operators $\mathsf{N}_j = d^{-1}|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|$. When the normalized vectors $|\phi_j\rangle$ obey

$$\bigl|\langle\phi_j|\phi_k\rangle\bigr|^2 = \frac{1}{d+1} \ , \qquad j \neq k \ , \tag{5}$$

the set $\{\mathsf{N}_j\}$ is a symmetric informationally complete POVM [24]. Weyl–Heisenberg (WH) covariant SIC-sets of states in prime dimensions are examined in Ref. [35]. WH SIC-sets, whenever they exist, consist solely of minimum uncertainty states with respect to Rényi's 2-entropy for a complete set of MUBs [35]. The authors of Ref. [36] discussed approximate versions of SIC-POVMs, where a small deviation from uniformity of the inner products is allowed. It follows from Eq. (5) that pairwise inner products between the POVM elements are all equal, that is

$$\langle \mathsf{N}_j\,,\mathsf{N}_k\rangle_{\mathrm{hs}} = \frac{1}{d^2(d+1)} \ , \qquad j \neq k \ . \tag{6}$$

From Eqs. (5) and (6), basic properties of a SIC-POVM can be derived [24]. In particular, the vectors $|\phi_j\rangle$ form a spherical 2-design [24, 25]. This consequence has been derived in Ref. [25]. Here, we refrain from considering spherical designs. Instead, we will present an exact calculation of the index of coincidence for probability distribution generated by a SIC-POVM.

B. Renyi's and Tsallis' entropies

The Rényi and Tsallis entropies both form an especially important family of one-parametric generalizations of the Shannon entropy. For $\alpha > 0 \neq 1$, the Rényi α-entropy is defined as [37]

$$R_{\alpha}(p) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\, \ln\Bigl(\sum\nolimits_{j} p_j^{\alpha}\Bigr) \ . \tag{7}$$

In the limit $\alpha \to 1$, this expression leads to the standard Shannon entropy $H_1(p) = -\sum_j p_j \ln p_j$. The entropy (7) is a non-increasing function of the order α [37]. Basic properties of the Renyi entropy and some of its physical applications are reviewed in Ref. [38]. Together with the standard case $\alpha = 1$, the following two choices of α are particularly important. For $\alpha = 2$, the formula (7) gives the so-called collision entropy

$$R_2(p) = -\ln\Bigl(\sum\nolimits_{j} p_j^2\Bigr) \ . \tag{8}$$

The collision-entropy uncertainty relations for MUBs were obtained in Refs. [23, 39]. In the limit $\alpha \to \infty$, we further obtain the min-entropy

$$R_{\infty}(p) = -\ln\bigl(\max p_j\bigr) \ . \tag{9}$$

The min-entropy is of particular interest in cryptography [6], and is also related to the extrema of the discrete Wigner function [40]. For the dimensionality $d = 2^n$, the writers of Ref. [40] derived lower bounds on the sum of min-entropies for several MUBs.

For $\alpha > 0 \neq 1$, the Tsallis α-entropy of probability distribution $\{p_j\}$ is defined by [41]

$$H_{\alpha}(p) := \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\, \Bigl(\sum\nolimits_{j} p_j^{\alpha} - 1\Bigr) \ . \tag{10}$$

With slightly other factor, the functional (10) was previously derived within a formal context in Ref. [42]. In statistical physics, the entropy (10) was introduced and motivated by Tsallis [41]. Information-theoretical properties of the entropy (10) and its conditional form are discussed in Refs. [43, 44]. It is convenient to rewrite (10) in terms of the α-logarithm, which is a standard tool in non-extensive thermostatistics. For $\alpha > 0 \neq 1$ and $x > 0$, the α-logarithm is defined as

$$\ln_{\alpha}(x) := \frac{x^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} \ . \tag{11}$$

The right-hand side of Eq. (10) can be represented in two equivalent ways, namely

$$H_{\alpha}(p) = -\sum\nolimits_{j} p_j^{\alpha}\, \ln_{\alpha}(p_j) = \sum\nolimits_{j} p_j\, \ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right) \ . \tag{12}$$

Taking $\alpha \to 1$, the α-logarithm is reduced the standard logarithm $\ln x$. Here, the entropy (10) recovers the Shannon entropy $H_1(p) = -\sum_j p_j \ln p_j$. The Tsallis entropy (10) is a concave function of its entry. Namely, for all $\lambda \in [0;1]$ and two probability distributions $p = \{p_j\}$ and $q = \{q_j\}$, we have

$$H_{\alpha}\bigl(\lambda p + (1-\lambda) q\bigr) \geq \lambda\, H_{\alpha}(p) + (1-\lambda) H_{\alpha}(q) \ . \tag{13}$$

This follows from concavity of the function $x \mapsto \bigl(x^{\alpha} - x\bigr)/(1-\alpha)$. It must be stressed that the Rényi α-entropy does not obey the concavity property for $\alpha > 1$. Namely, it is not purely convex nor purely concave for such α [38]. Applications of the Rényi and Tsallis entropies in quantum information theory are discussed in the book [45]. Conditional Rényi and Tsallis entropies of partitions on quantum logic are examined in Ref. [46].

III. LOWER ENTROPIC BOUNDS FOR MUBS

In this section, we formulate entropic uncertainty relations for mutually unbiased bases. First, we derive entropic lower bounds for an arbitrary number of MUBs in terms of the Tsallis α-entropies of order $\alpha \in (0;2]$. Second, the Rényi formulation is considered. Finally, we present entropic bounds of Maassen–Uffink type in terms of the so-called symmetrized entropies.

A. Uncertainty relations for arbitrary set of MUBs

We begin with uncertainty relations in terms of Tsallis' α-entropies for $\alpha \in (0;2]$. Since state-dependent and state-independent formulations are both of interest, we discuss them below. The following statement takes place.

Proposition 1 *Let $\mathbb{B} = \bigl\{\mathcal{B}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{B}_M\bigr\}$ be a set of MUBs in d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For $\alpha \in (0;2]$ and arbitrary density matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the sum of Tsallis' entropies satisfies the state-dependent bound*

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}} H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho}) \geq \ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{Md}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d+M-1}\right) . \tag{14}$$

The state-independent formulation is expressed as

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}} H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho}) \geq \ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{Md}{d+M-1}\right) . \tag{15}$$

Proof. First, we consider the case $\alpha \neq 1$. To each mutually unbiased basis $\mathcal{B} = \bigl\{|b_j\rangle\bigr\}$, we assign the so-called index of coincidence

$$C(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho}) := \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{d} p_j(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})^2 \ , \qquad p_j(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \langle b_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|b_j\rangle \ . \tag{16}$$

The second derivative of $\ln_{\alpha}(1/x)$ is equal to $(2-\alpha)\,x^{\alpha-3}$ and positive for $\alpha \leq 2$. Hence, the function itself is convex. Combining Jensen's inequality with the right-hand side of Eq. (12), for $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and any probability distribution we have

$$H_{\alpha}(p) \geq \ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{1}{C(p)}\right) . \tag{17}$$

Using this inequality and Jensen's inequality again, one gives

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{m=1}^{M} H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho}) \geq \sum_{m=1}^{M}\frac{1}{M}\,\ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{1}{C_m}\right) \geq \ln_{\alpha}\!\left\{\left(\frac{1}{M}\sum\nolimits_{m} C_m\right)^{-1}\right\} , \tag{18}$$

where $C_m = C(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho})$. The writers of Ref. [39] have shown the following. For M mutually unbiased bases, the indices of coincidence obey

$$\sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{M} C_m \leq \mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2) + \frac{M-1}{d} \ . \tag{19}$$

As the function $x \mapsto \ln_{\alpha}(1/x)$ decreases, the inequality $x \leq y$ implies $\ln_{\alpha}(1/x) \geq \ln_{\alpha}(1/y)$. Combining this with Eqs. (18) and (19) finally gives the claim (14). Substituting $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2) \leq 1$ into Eq. (19), the same reason provides the bound (15). Finally, the standard case $\alpha = 1$ is obtained in the limit $\alpha \to 1$. ■

For pure states, we always have $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2) = 1$. Then the state-dependent bound (14) is merely reduced to the state-independent form (15). For impure states, the lower bound (14) is stronger due to $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2) < 1$. So we see a natural behavior of our lower entropic bounds. Namely, these bounds increase with a deviation from purity. In terms of the Shannon entropies, when $\alpha = 1$, the lower bounds (14) and (15) were both proved in Ref. [39]. Unlike many previous results, the entropic bounds of Ref. [39] hold for any dimension d and any number M of MUBs. The only assumption is that these MUBs merely exist. Thus, we have extended general entropic inequalies for MUBs to one-parametric family of α-entropies for all $\alpha \in (0;2]$. Note that our reasons sufficiently differ from the method of Ref. [39]. The latter is mainly based on the results of Ref. [47]. Using information diagrams, Harremoës and Topsøe gave inequalities between the Shannon entropy and the index of coincidence [47]. In Ref. [39], these inequalities have also been used to obtain more detailed bounds of state-independent form. As was exemplified therein, entropic bounds of such a kind are not stronger than the inequality (14) for $\alpha = 1$. Nevertheless, it would be interesting to develop this issue for the Tsallis α-entropies. Taking $M = d+1$, the inequalities (14) and (15) give

$$\frac{1}{d+1}\sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{d+1} H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho}) \geq \ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{d+1}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)+1}\right) \geq \ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right) . \tag{20}$$

In the case $\alpha = 1$, the state-independent lower bound of Eq. (20) is probably the most known entropic result for MUBs. This result has been given by Sánchez [20] and later rederived in many works. In Ref. [23], it was obtained from the following. As was shown in Ref. [25], any union of $d+1$ MUBs in d-dimensional Hilbert space forms a spherical 2-design. In principle, the bound (20) immediately follows from this fact and Eq. (17). However, sets of $d+1$ MUBs has been built only in Hilbert spaces of a prime-power dimensionality. So, it is of importance to have entropic bounds for arbitrarily taken number of MUBs. For Rényi's entropies, we have the following statement.

Proposition 2 *Let $\mathbb{B}=\bigl\{\mathcal{B}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{B}_M\bigr\}$ be a set of MUBs in d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For $\alpha\in[2;\infty)$ and arbitrary density matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the sum of Rényi's entropies satisfies the state-dependent bound*

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}}R_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{Md}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d+M-1}\right) . \tag{21}$$

The state-independent formulation is expressed as

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}}R_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{Md}{d+M-1}\right) . \tag{22}$$

Proof. For $\alpha\geq2$, we have the inequality

$$\Bigl(\sum\nolimits_{j}p_j^{\alpha}\Bigr)^{1/\alpha}\leq\Bigl(\sum\nolimits_{j}p_j^{2}\Bigr)^{1/2}=C(p)^{1/2} . \tag{23}$$

This relation follows from theorem 19 of Ref. [48]. The function $x\mapsto(1-\alpha)^{-1}\ln x$ decreases for $\alpha>1$. Combining this with $\sum_j p_j^{\alpha}\leq C^{\alpha/2}$, for $\alpha\geq2$ and any probability distribution we get

$$R_{\alpha}(p)\geq\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\,\ln C(p) . \tag{24}$$

Further, the function $x\mapsto(1-\alpha)^{-1}\ln x$ is convex for $\alpha>1$, whence

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{m=1}^{M}R_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\sum_{m=1}^{M}\frac{1}{M}\,\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\,\ln C_m\geq\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{1}{M}\sum\nolimits_{m}C_m\right) . \tag{25}$$

As the function $x\mapsto(1-\alpha)^{-1}\ln x$ is decreasing for such α, the inequalities (19) and (25) provide the claim (21). The inequality (22) is obtained by substituting $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\leq1$ into Eq. (21). ■

With respect to a dependence on density matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, the lower bound (21) is similar to Eq. (14). It is reduced to the state-independent form (22) for all pure states. For impure states, the bound (21) is strictly stronger than Eq. (22). For $\alpha\in[2;\infty)$, we obtained the lower bounds (21) and (22), which both depend on α. Substituting $\alpha=2$, these inequalities leads to

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}}R_{2}(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln\!\left(\frac{Md}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d+M-1}\right)\geq\ln\!\left(\frac{Md}{d+M-1}\right) . \tag{26}$$

The result was previously given in Ref. [39]. Thus, we have obtained its one-parametric extension. When d is a prime and $M=d+1$, the inequality (26) is saturated with every WH fiducial vector [35]. Since the Rényi α-entropy does not increase with α, the bound (26) holds for all Renyi's entropies of order $\alpha\in(0;2)$. For such orders, our bound is independent of α. In the limit $\alpha\to\infty$, we have a lower bound on the sum of min-entropies:

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}}R_{\infty}(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{1}{2}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{Md}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d+M-1}\right)\geq\frac{1}{2}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{Md}{d+M-1}\right) . \tag{27}$$

For dimensionality $d=2^n$, min-entropy lower bounds of state-independent form for arbitrary number of MUBs were derived in Ref. [40]. In general, these bounds are stronger than the right-hand side of Eq. (27). Nevertheless, we can obtain some improvement of the bound (27). It is based on the inequality proved in Appendix A.

Proposition 3 *Let $\mathbb{B}=\bigl\{\mathcal{B}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{B}_M\bigr\}$ be a set of MUBs in d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For arbitrary density matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the sum of min-entropies satisfies the state-dependent bound*

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}}R_{\infty}(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln d-\ln\!\Bigl(1+M^{-1/2}\sqrt{d-1}\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d-1}\Bigr) . \tag{28}$$

The state-independent formulation is expressed as

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}}R_{\infty}(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln\!\left(\frac{\sqrt{M}\,d}{d+\sqrt{M}-1}\right) . \tag{29}$$

Proof. The function $x \mapsto -\ln x$ is convex. Combining the corresponding Jensen inequality with Eq. (9) gives

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{M} R_{\infty}(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho}) \geq -\ln\!\left(\frac{1}{M}\sum\nolimits_{m}\max_{j} p_j(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho})\right) . \tag{30}$$

For brevity, we introduce the function $g_d(x)=d^{-1}\bigl(1+\sqrt{d-1}\sqrt{xd-1}\bigr)$. Using the results (A1) and (19), we step-by-step write

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum\nolimits_{m}\max_{j} p_j(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho}) \leq \frac{1}{M}\sum\nolimits_{m} g_d(C_m) \leq g_d\!\left(\frac{1}{M}\sum\nolimits_{m} C_m\right) \leq g_d\!\left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d+M-1}{Md}\right) . \tag{31}$$

Here, we used that the function $g_d(x)$ is concave and increasing. The right-hand side of Eq. (31) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{d}\left(1+\sqrt{d-1}\,\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d-1}{M}}\,\right) \leq \frac{d+\sqrt{M}-1}{\sqrt{M}\,d} \ , \tag{32}$$

due to $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\leq 1$. As the function $x \mapsto -\ln x$ decreases, the claims (28) and (29) are respectively reached by substituting the left-hand and right-hand sides of Eq. (32) into Eq. (30). $\blacksquare$

Up to a notation, the state-independent relation (29) concurs with Eqs. (10) and (41) of Ref. [40]. Thus, the min-entropy bound (29) is a state-dependent extension of one of the main results of that paper. In addition, our derivation does not assume a special choice of dimensionality d. The only assumption is that M mutually unbiased bases exist for this dimensionality. For these reasons, the min-entropy lower bound (28) is interesting itself as well as for potential applications.

B. Relations in terms of symmetrized entropies

Most formulations of entropic uncertainty relations are of Maassen–Uffink type [49] and pertain to a pair of observables. Using such results, a lower bound on the sum of Shannon entropies is easily given for arbitrary number of MUBs. However, this bound is essentially weaker than the bounds obtained in Refs. [19, 20, 39]. In Refs. [50, 51], extension of the Maassen–Uffink uncertainty relations with quantum side information are obtained. The approach of Ref. [49] has been developed with use of both the Rényi [52, 53] and Tsallis entropies [54, 55], including the case of quasi-Hermitian operators [56]. Here, we deal with two generalized entropies, whose different orders are constrained by a certain condition. This is predetermined by use of the Riesz theorem. Therefore, for several measurements we should symmetrize such entropies. For a pair of observables, relations in terms of symmetrized entropies were derived within both the Rényi [52] and Tsallis formulations [57]. We will now develop this issue for several MUBs. It is of interest, because of the relations (15) and (22) deal with the sums of Tsallis' and Rényi's entropies of the same order. For convenience, we recall a version of Riesz's theorem. It is a statement about vector norms

$$\|v\|_b=\Bigl(\sum\nolimits_i |v_i|^b\Bigr)^{1/b} \ , \tag{33}$$

where $b\geq 1$. For positive-element vectors, we will also use norm-like functionals $\|p\|_\beta=\Bigl(\sum_j p_j^\beta\Bigr)^{1/\beta}$ with $\beta>0$. Let us consider two finite tuples $v\in\mathbb{C}^m$ and $u\in\mathbb{C}^n$ of numbers, which are connected by linear transformation

$$v_i=\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{n} t_{ij}\,u_j \qquad (i=1,\ldots,m) \ . \tag{34}$$

Denoting $\eta:=\max\bigl\{|t_{ij}|:\,1\leq i\leq m,\,1\leq j\leq n\bigr\}$, a version of Riesz's theorem is posed as follows (see theorem 297 in the book [48]).

Lemma 1 *Let a and b be positive numbers such that $1/a+1/b=1$ and $1<b<2$. If for all $u\in\mathbb{C}^n$ the matrix $[[t_{ij}]]$ satisfies*

$$\|v\|_2\leq\|u\|_2 \ , \tag{35}$$

then the corresponding norms satisfy

$$\|v\|_a\leq\eta^{(2-b)/b}\,\|u\|_b \ . \tag{36}$$

The Riesz theorem is a relation between two vector norms with conjugate indices, which obey $1/a+1/b=1$. In terms of the indices a and b, the entropic orders α and β are put as $\alpha=a/2$ and $\beta=b/2$ [53, 55]. Thus, these orders are constrained as $1/\alpha+1/\beta=2$. Assuming $s\in[0;1)$, we can parametrize the entropic orders as

$$\max\{\alpha,\beta\}=\frac{1}{1-s}\ , \qquad \min\{\alpha,\beta\}=\frac{1}{1+s}\ , \qquad \frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\beta}=2\ . \tag{37}$$

To write entropic uncertainty relations for several MUBs, we shall use the symmetrized entropies defined as

$$\widetilde{R}_s(p):=\frac{1}{2}\big(R_\alpha(p)+R_\beta(p)\big)\ , \tag{38}$$

$$\widetilde{H}_s(p):=\frac{1}{2}\big(H_\alpha(p)+H_\beta(p)\big)\ . \tag{39}$$

Using such entropies, uncertainty relations for several MUBs are expressed as follows.

Proposition 4 *Let $\mathbb{B}=\{\mathcal{B}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{B}_M\}$ be a set of MUBs in d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ be a density matrix. For all $s\in[0;1)$, the symmetrized Tsallis entropies satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}}\widetilde{H}_s(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{1}{2}\,\ln_\mu(d)\ , \tag{40}$$

where $\mu=(1-s)^{-1}$. For all $s\in[0;1)$, the symmetrized Rényi entropies satisfy

$$\frac{1}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{B}\in\mathbb{B}}\widetilde{R}_s(\mathcal{B}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{1}{2}\,\ln d\ . \tag{41}$$

Proof. For two MUBs $\mathcal{B}_m=\{|b_i^{(m)}\rangle\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_n=\{|b_j^{(n)}\rangle\}$, we have the probabilities $q_i=\langle b_i^{(m)}|\boldsymbol{\rho}|b_i^{(m)}\rangle$ and $p_j=\langle b_j^{(n)}|\boldsymbol{\rho}|b_j^{(n)}\rangle$. Using the transfomation with matrix elements

$$t_{ij}=\langle b_i^{(m)}|b_j^{(n)}\rangle\ , \qquad |t_{ij}|=d^{-1/2}\ , \tag{42}$$

Lemma 1 leads to the relation $\|q\|_\alpha\leq d^{(\beta-1)/\beta}\|p\|_\beta$ under the conditions $1/\alpha+1/\beta=2$ and $1/2<\beta<1$. It is a special case of the general result posed as lemma 2 of Ref. [55] (see also Proposition 9 of the present paper). Due to this relation between norm-like functions of the probability distributions, the corresponding entropies satisfy (cf. example 1 of Ref. [55])

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho})+H_\beta(\mathcal{B}_n|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln_\mu(d)\ . \tag{43}$$

Swapping entropic orders in Eq. (43), we get another inequality for Tsallis entropies. A half-sum of these two inequalities is represented as

$$\widetilde{H}_s(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho})+\widetilde{H}_s(\mathcal{B}_n|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln_\mu(d) \qquad (m\neq n)\ . \tag{44}$$

From the given M MUBs, we can choose $M(M-1)/2$ different pairs; each MUB is appeared in $M-1$ pairs. Summing Eq. (44) with respect to all pairs, we then obtain

$$(M-1)\sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{M}\widetilde{H}_s(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{M(M-1)}{2}\,\ln_\mu(d)\ . \tag{45}$$

The latter is equivalent to the claim (40). Let us proceed to the Rényi formulation. We first note that

$$R_\alpha(\mathcal{B}_m|\boldsymbol{\rho})+R_\beta(\mathcal{B}_n|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln d\ . \tag{46}$$

It easily follows from the above relation between norm-like functionals of the probability distributions [52, 53, 55]. Applying the same reasons to Eq. (46), we have arrived at the claim (41). ■

The inequalities (40) and (41) respectively provide lower bounds on symmetrized Tsallis and Renyi entropies averaged over the set of several MUBs. In the particular case $s=1$, both the inequalities are reduced to the bound on the averaged Shannon entropy. This lower bound of Maassen–Uffink type was previously derived in Ref. [23]. Here, we have obtained its extension to some of generalized entropies.

IV. INDEX OF COINCIDENCE FOR A SIC-POVM

In this section, we calculate the index of coincidence for a single SIC-POVM. The results are interested in own rights and also for deriveng state-dependent uncertainty relations for SIC-POVMs. Let us fix some orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}$. To each vector $|\psi\rangle\in\mathcal{H}$, we define $|\psi^{*}\rangle\in\mathcal{H}$ as the vector such that its components are conjugate to the corresponding components of the $|\psi\rangle$. So, for any two vectors $|\varphi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ we have

$$\langle\varphi^{*}|\psi^{*}\rangle=\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle^{*}=\langle\psi|\varphi\rangle\ . \tag{47}$$

Our calculations are essentially based on the completeness relation for a SIC-POVM, namely

$$\frac{1}{d}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|=\mathbb{1}\ . \tag{48}$$

We first establish an auxiliary result. The following statement takes place.

Lemma 2 *For the given d^2 unit vectors $|\phi_j\rangle$, we introduce d^2 vectors of the space $\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$, namely*

$$|\Phi\rangle=\frac{1}{d^{3/2}}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}|\phi_j\rangle\otimes|\phi_j^{*}\rangle\ , \tag{49}$$

$$|\Psi_k\rangle=\frac{\sqrt{d+1}}{d^{3/2}}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{k(j-1)}|\phi_j\rangle\otimes|\phi_j^{*}\rangle\qquad(k=1,\ldots,d^2-1)\ , \tag{50}$$

where ω is a primitive d^2th root of unity. If the unit kets $|\phi_j\rangle$ satisfy (48), then the d^2 vectors (49)–(50) form an orthonormal basis in the space $\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. First, we aim to show that the vectors (49)–(50) are mutually orthogonal. The inner product $\langle\Phi|\Psi_k\rangle$ is expressed, up to a factor, as the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{k(j-1)}\big|\langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle\big|^2=\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{k(j-1)}+\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\neq j}}^{d^2}\frac{\omega^{k(j-1)}}{d+1}\ . \tag{51}$$

Here, we used the formulas (5) and (47). As ω is a primitive root of unity, $\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{k(j-1)}=0$ for $k=1,\ldots,d^2-1$. In the right-hand side of (51), we multiply this zero sum by factor $(d+1)^{-1}$ and obtain

$$\frac{1}{d+1}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{k(j-1)}=\frac{d^2}{d+1}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{k(j-1)}\ . \tag{52}$$

The latter is zero for all $k=1,\ldots,d^2-1$. Further, we write the inner product $\langle\Psi_q|\Psi_k\rangle$ in the form

$$\frac{d+1}{d^3}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{-q(i-1)}\omega^{k(j-1)}\big|\langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle\big|^2=\frac{d+1}{d^3}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{(k-q)(j-1)}+\frac{1}{d^3}\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i\neq j}}^{d^2}\omega^{-q(i-1)+k(j-1)}\ . \tag{53}$$

For $q\neq k$, the first sum in the right-hand side of Eq. (53) is zero. Multiplying this sum by $(d+1)^{-1}$, we add it to the second sum in the right-hand side of Eq. (53) and get

$$\frac{1}{d^3}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\omega^{-q(i-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{k(j-1)}=0\ . \tag{54}$$

Let us proceed to the normalization. The squared norm of the vector (49) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{d^3}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\big|\langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle\big|^2=\frac{1}{d^3}\left(d^2+\frac{d^4-d^2}{d+1}\right)=1\ . \tag{55}$$

Taking $q=k$ in Eq. (53), the squared norm of the vector (50) is written as

$$\frac{d+1}{d^3}\left\{d^2\left(1-\frac{1}{d+1}\right)+\frac{1}{d+1}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\omega^{-k(i-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{k(j-1)}\right\}=1\ , \tag{56}$$

since the second sum in the left-hand side vanishes. ■

So, each SIC-POVM in d-dimensional space $\mathcal{H}$ can be converted into the orthonormal basis in the space $\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$. In some respects, our construction (49)–(50) is similar to the vectors built of several MUBs in [39]. Using such vectors, the authors of [39] derived state-dependent uncertainty relations for any set of MUBs in terms of the Shannon and Renyi 2-entropies. We have already extended these relations to the Tsallis α-entropies for $\alpha\in(0;2]$ and the Rényi α-entropies for $\alpha\in[2;\infty)$. In Ref. [39], the basic point is an upper bound on the corresponding sum of the indices of coincidence. In the following, we will calculate exactly the index of coincidence for any SIC-POVM and arbitrary density matrix.

Proposition 5 *Let $\mathcal{N}=\bigl\{d^{-1}|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|\bigr\}$ be a SIC-POVM in d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For arbitrary density matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the generated probability distribution satisfies*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}p_j(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})^2=\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)+1}{d(d+1)}\ . \tag{57}$$

Proof. We first note two immediate consequences of the completeness relation (48) for a SIC-POVM. For arbitrary operator $\mathsf{A}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and vector $|\psi\rangle\in\mathcal{H}$, one gives

$$\frac{1}{d^2}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\langle\phi_i|\mathsf{A}|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|\phi_i\rangle=\mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{A})\ , \tag{58}$$

$$\frac{1}{d}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|\psi\rangle=|\psi\rangle\ , \tag{59}$$

These consequences are respectively obtained by substituting (48) into the identities $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{1}\mathsf{A}\mathbb{1})=\mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{A})$ and $\mathbb{1}\,|\psi\rangle=|\psi\rangle$. Let us consider the vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}\otimes\mathbb{1}|\Phi\rangle\in\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H}$. It can be represented as a linear combination of the basis vectors $|\Phi\rangle$ and $|\Psi_k\rangle$ defined in (49)–(50). Using Eq. (58) and the normalization $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho})=1$, we have

$$\langle\Phi|\boldsymbol{\rho}\otimes\mathbb{1}|\Phi\rangle=\frac{1}{d^3}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\langle\phi_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|\phi_i\rangle=\frac{1}{d}\ . \tag{60}$$

Hence, the vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}\otimes\mathbb{1}|\Phi\rangle$ is represented in the form

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}\otimes\mathbb{1}|\Phi\rangle=\frac{1}{d}\,|\Phi\rangle+\sum_{k=1}^{d^2-1}a_k|\Psi_k\rangle\ . \tag{61}$$

The coefficients $a_q=\langle\Psi_q|\boldsymbol{\rho}\otimes\mathbb{1}|\Phi\rangle$ are calculated as

$$a_q=\frac{\sqrt{d+1}}{d^3}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\omega^{-q(i-1)}\langle\phi_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|\phi_i\rangle=\frac{\sqrt{d+1}}{d^2}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\omega^{-q(i-1)}\langle\phi_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\phi_i\rangle=\frac{\sqrt{d+1}}{d}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\omega^{-q(i-1)}p_i\ , \tag{62}$$

where we used Eq. (59) and $p_i=d^{-1}\langle\phi_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\phi_i\rangle$. The squared norm of the vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}\otimes\mathbb{1}|\Phi\rangle$ can be expressed in two different ways. Due to Eq. (58), we write the first expression

$$\langle\Phi|(\boldsymbol{\rho}\otimes\mathbb{1})^2|\Phi\rangle=\frac{1}{d^3}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}\langle\phi_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}^2|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|\phi_i\rangle=\frac{1}{d}\,\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\ . \tag{63}$$

The second expression is obtained from Eq. (61) as the sum of squared modula of the coefficients. Namely, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{d^2}+\sum_{k=1}^{d^2-1}a_k^*a_k=\frac{1}{d^2}+\frac{d+1}{d^2}\sum_{i=1}^{d^2}\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}p_ip_j\sum_{k=1}^{d^2-1}\omega^{k(i-j)}=\frac{1}{d^2}+(d+1)\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}p_j^2-\frac{d+1}{d^2}\ . \tag{64}$$

At the last step, we use the formulas $\sum_{k=1}^{d^2-1}\omega^{k(i-j)}=d^2\delta_{ij}-1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}p_j=1$. Combining Eqs. (63) and (64) leads to the formula

$$\frac{1}{d}\,\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)=(d+1)\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}p_j^2-\frac{1}{d}\ , \tag{65}$$

which is equivalent to the claim (57). ■

Thus, for any SIC-POVM the index of coincidence of generated probability distribution is exactly expressed in terms of measured density matrix. In general, probabilities will range in the domain defined by the conditions $p_j\geq 0$ for all j and $\sum_j p_j=1$. In the case of SIC-POVMs, they should also satisfy the additional restriction (57), which depends on measured state. On the other hand, measurement statistics can be used in studying quantum states. Using full statistics of a SIC-POVM and the result (57), we can exactly find the trace of square of unknown density matrix. This trace is one of common measures of a degree of state impurity. For a pure state $\boldsymbol{\rho}=|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, the formula (57) is reduced to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}p_j(\mathcal{N}|\psi)^2=\frac{2}{d(d+1)}\ . \tag{66}$$

That is, the index of coincidence is constant for all pure states. This result has been proved in [25] as a corollary of the fact that the kets $|\phi_j\rangle$ form a spherical 2-design. When $|\psi\rangle$ is taken as one of the kets $|\phi_j\rangle$, the result (66) directly follows from Eq. (5). Another easy example is provided with the completely mixed state $\boldsymbol{\rho}_*=\mathbb{1}/d$. For a SIC-POVM, we have the equiprobable distribution, i.e. $p_j=1/d^2$ for all $j=1,\ldots,d^2$. In this example, the index of coincidence is equal to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d^2}p_j(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}_*)^2=\frac{1}{d^2}\ . \tag{67}$$

Substituting $\mathrm{tr}\big(\boldsymbol{\rho}_*^2\big)=1/d$, the right-hand side of Eq. (57) is reduced to the right-hand side of Eq. (67). Thus, the formula (57) is an extension of the important result (66) to all density matrices. The formula (66) leads to state-independent uncertainty relations for a SIC-POVM in terms of both the Rényi and Tsallis entropies. In a similar manner, we will use Eq. (57) for deriving improved entropic uncertainty relations. In some respects, our reasonings are parallel to the proof of theorem 1 of the paper [39]. For a set of MUBs, this theorem gives an upper bound on the corresponding sum of the indices of coincidence. For a SIC-POVM, however, the index of coincidence enjoys just the equality, instead of some kind of inequality. This very interesting result is a manifestation of the symmetric structure of SIC-sets. Together with the normalization condition, the generated probabilities $p_j(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})$ should also obey (57) for all density matrices. Such a conclusion concurs with the fact that SIC-POVMs are difficult to construct. In this regard, the authors of [36] considered approximate versions of SIC-POVMs. They allow small deviation from uniformity of the inner products (5). It would be interesting to study changes in Eq. (57), which are induced by such a deviation.

In the case $d=2$, the right-hand side of Eq. (57) can be represented in terms of the Bloch vector. In this case, we will denote the identity 2×2-matrix by $\mathbb{1}$ and the usual Pauli matrices by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_x$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_y$, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_z$, respectively. It is convenient to write a qubit density matrix in the form

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{1}+\vec{s}\cdot\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\right)\, . \tag{68}$$

where $\vec{s}=(s_x,s_y,s_z)$ is the Bloch vector of $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. The matrix is obviously of unit trace. Positivity of this matrix is provided by the condition $s=|\vec{s}|\leq 1$. Since $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)=(1+s^2)/2$, the index of coincidence is written as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4}p_j(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})^2=\frac{3+s^2}{12}\ . \tag{69}$$

For all pure states, we have $s=1$ and the number $1/3$ in Eq. (69). For the completely mixed state, the index of coincidence is equal to $1/4$ due to $s=0$. The more a state is mixed, the less the index (69). A similar conclusion holds in higher dimensions. Moreover, for large d the pure-state value (66) is almost the doubled value (67).

V. ENTROPIC UNCERTAINTY RELATIONS FOR SIC-POVMS

In this section, we derive entropic inequalities for symmetric informationally complete POVMs. First, we consider entropic bounds for a single SIC-POVM. The Tsallis and Rényi formulations are both considered. Second, entropic bounds of Maassen–Uffink type for a pair of SIC-POVMs are given.

A. Lower entropic bounds for a single SIC-POVM

A simple way to obtain lower bounds on the Shannon entropy of a given POVM was noticed in Ref. [58]. Developing this idea with the right-hand side of Eq. (12), for all $\alpha>0$ we write

$$H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{1}{\max p_j}\right)\geq\ln_{\alpha}(d)\ . \tag{70}$$

Indeed, for a SIC-POVM we have $p_j=d^{-1}\langle\phi_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\phi_j\rangle\leq d^{-1}$ for all j. Further, the function $x\mapsto\ln_{\alpha}(1/x)$ decreases with x for all $\alpha>0$. In the Rényi case, we have the relation

$$R_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq-\ln(\max p_j)\geq\ln d\ . \tag{71}$$

To prove this, we note that the function $x\mapsto(1-\alpha)^{-1}\ln x$ increases for $0<\alpha<1$ and decreases for $1<\alpha<\infty$. Combining this with the relation

$$\sum\nolimits_{j}p^{\alpha}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\geq, & 0<\alpha<1\\ \leq, & 1<\alpha<\infty\end{array}\right\}(\max p_j)^{\alpha-1}\sum\nolimits_{j}p_j=(\max p_j)^{\alpha-1}\ , \tag{72}$$

we then get Eq. (71). The right-hand side of Eq. (71) is actually an immediate lower bound on the min-entropy, when $\alpha=\infty$. Its validity for other orders also follows from the fact that Rényi's α-entropy is a non-increasing function of α. In both the formulations, more stronger entropic bound can be obtained from the following result. If the system of d^2 unit vectors $|\phi_j\rangle$ forms a SIC-POVM in d-dimensional Hilbert space, then this system is a spherical 2-design [24, 25]. Hence, we have arrived at a conclusion.

Proposition 6 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a SIC-POVM in d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For $\alpha\in(0;2]$ and arbitrary density matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the Tsallis α-entropy satisfies the state-dependent bound*

$$H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)+1}\right)\ . \tag{73}$$

Proof. For $\alpha\in(0;2]$ and any probability distribution, we have proved Eq. (17). Combining this with Eq. (57) immediately gives (73). ■

For pure states, we have $\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)=1$. In this case, the right-hand side of Eq. (73) is reduced to the state-independent form

$$H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln_{\alpha}\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{2}\right)\ , \tag{74}$$

which actually holds for any state. In the standard case $\alpha=1$, the uncertainty relation (73) gives a lower bound on the Shannon entropy, namely

$$H_{1}(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)+1}\right)\geq\ln\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{2}\right)\ . \tag{75}$$

This lower bound is stronger than the inequality $H_1(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln d$, which follows from Eq. (70). Moreover, for large d the bound (75) is almost $2\ln d$. For enough high dimensions and order α around 1, therefore, the uncertainty relation (73) is significantly stronger than the simple bound (70). On the other hand, the lower bound (70) holds for all $\alpha>0$. We now consider the Rényi formulation. The desired result directly follows from Eqs. (24) and (57).

Proposition 7 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a SIC-POVM in d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For $\alpha\in[2;\infty)$ and arbitrary density matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the Rényi α-entropy satisfies the state-independent bound*

$$R_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)+1}\right)\ . \tag{76}$$

Since $\mathrm{tr}\big(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2\big)\leq 1$, we obtain the state-independent bound

$$R_\alpha(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha-1)}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)+1}\right)\,. \tag{77}$$

In the range $\alpha\in[2;\infty)$, we herewith have the lower bound (76), which depends on α. For $\alpha=2$, the inequality (76) gives the lower bound on the collision entropy, namely

$$R_2(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)+1}\right)\,. \tag{78}$$

Since the Rényi α-entropy does not increase with α, this bound holds for all Renyi's entropies of order $\alpha\in(0;2]$. In this range, we have only the constant entropic bound. Further, the right-hand side of Eq. (76) clearly decreases with α, since the logarithm multiplier is $(1/2)\big(1+(\alpha-1)^{-1}\big)$. So, for large values of α the lower bound (76) is approximately a half of its size for $\alpha=2$. In the limit $\alpha\to\infty$, the formula (76) leads to the min-entropy relation

$$R_\infty(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\frac{1}{2}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)+1}\right)\geq\frac{1}{2}\,\ln\!\left(\frac{d(d+1)}{2}\right)\,. \tag{79}$$

As $d(d+1)<2d^2$ for $d\geq 2$, the right-hand side of Eq. (79) is weaker than the bound (71). It is a manifestation of the fact that the uncertainty relation (76) is not tight. This observation gives a reason for improving the min-entropy relation (79). Using the result (A1), we are in position to derive an improved state-dependent relation for the min-entropy of a SIC-POVM.

Proposition 8 *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a SIC-POVM in d-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For arbitrary density matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, the min-entropy of generated probability distribution satisfies*

$$R_\infty(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq 2\ln d-\ln\!\Big(1+\sqrt{d-1}\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d-1}\Big)\,. \tag{80}$$

Proof. Replacing b^2 with (57) and n with d^2, the inequality (A1) finally gives

$$\max\Big\{p_j(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}):\,1\leq j\leq d^2\Big\}\leq\frac{1}{d^2}\,\Big(1+\sqrt{d-1}\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2)\,d-1}\Big)\,. \tag{81}$$

The claim (80) is easily obtained from (9) and (81). ■

Proposition 8 provides an uncertainty relation for a SIC-POVM in terms of the min-entropy. For a pure state $\boldsymbol{\rho}=|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, the right-hand side of (80) is reduced to the right-hand side of (71). The state-dependent relation (80) is obviously saturated, whenever the state $|\psi\rangle$ is one of the kets $|\phi_j\rangle$ comprising the SIC-POVM. Indeed, one of the probabilities is then $1/d$ and other are all $\big(d(d+1)\big)^{-1}$. Further, the inequality (80) is saturated with the completely mixed state $\boldsymbol{\rho}_*=\mathbb{1}/d$, when $p_j=1/d^2$ for all j. The right-hand side of (80) increases as $\mathrm{tr}\big(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2\big)$ decreases. In other words, the more a state is mixed, the more the bound (80). Thus, the uncertainty relation (80) enjoys a natural dependence on states. It is also tight in several certain cases. New entropic bound is essentially based on the exact result (57). As SIC-POVMs are hard to construct, their approximate versions with a deviation from (5) are of interest [36]. Extensions of the above relations to approximate SIC-POVMs would be a subject of separate research. In principle, Lemma 3 could be used for deriving a lower bound on the min-entropy in other cases. For this purpose, the index of coincidence should be evaluated from above.

B. Entropic relations of Maassen–Uffink type

In principle, entropic uncertainty relations for a pair of SIC-POVMs immediately follow from results given in the literature. For two POVMs, a state-independent bound on the sum of Shannon entropies was derived in Ref. [59]. This bound was first proved for projective measurements. Using Naimark's extension step-by-step, the writers of Ref. [59] then generalized this result to arbitrary POVMs. Reformulations with state-dependent bounds or generalized entropic functions are of interest. In Refs. [53–56], we have developed this issue in both directions. In the present paper, uncertainty relations for rank-one POVMs will be sufficient. We include another proof for convenience, in the hope that it may offer additional insights.

Proposition 9 *Let* $\mathcal{M}=\big\{|m_i\rangle\langle m_i|\big\}$ *and* $\mathcal{N}=\big\{|n_j\rangle\langle n_j|\big\}$ *be two POVMs with rank-one elements in d-dimensional Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$*. To any density matrix* $\boldsymbol{\rho}$*, we assign the quantity*

$$g\big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}\big):=\max\left\{\frac{\big|\langle m_i|n_j\rangle\,\langle n_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|m_i\rangle\big|}{\langle m_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|m_i\rangle^{1/2}\,\langle n_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|n_j\rangle^{1/2}}:\ \langle m_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|m_i\rangle\neq 0,\ \langle n_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|n_j\rangle\neq 0\right\}\ . \tag{82}$$

For $1/\alpha+1/\beta=2$ *and* $\mu=\max\{\alpha,\beta\}$*, the Tsallis entropies and Rényi entropies respectively satisfy*

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{M}|\boldsymbol{\rho})+H_\beta(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln_\mu\Big(g\big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}\big)^{-2}\Big)\ , \tag{83}$$

$$R_\alpha(\mathcal{M}|\boldsymbol{\rho})+R_\beta(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq -2\ln g\big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}\big)\ . \tag{84}$$

Proof. Using the completeness relation (3), the ith probability of the measurement $\mathcal{M}$ is rewritten as

$$q_i(\mathcal{M}|\boldsymbol{\rho})=\langle m_i|\mathbb{1}\,\boldsymbol{\rho}|m_i\rangle=\sum\nolimits_j\langle m_i|n_j\rangle\,\langle n_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|m_i\rangle\ . \tag{85}$$

Let us introduce the numbers $v_i^{\prime}=\langle m_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|m_i\rangle^{1/2}$ and $u_j^{\prime}=p_j(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})^{1/2}=\langle n_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|n_j\rangle^{1/2}$. Dividing Eq. (85) by $v_i^{\prime}$, we then obtain

$$v_i^{\prime}=\sum\nolimits_j t_{ij}\,u_j^{\prime}\ ,\qquad t_{ij}=\frac{\langle m_i|n_j\rangle\,\langle n_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|m_i\rangle}{\langle m_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|m_i\rangle^{1/2}\,\langle n_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|n_j\rangle^{1/2}}\ . \tag{86}$$

That is, the output tuple $v^{\prime}$ is obtained from the input $u^{\prime}$ by a linear transformation. To apply the Riesz theorem, we should beforehand check the precondition (35). It is required that the latter be valid for arbitrary input tuple u. The proof of this point is direct but somewhat technical (for details, see Appendix B). From Eq. (36), we further obtain the relation

$$\|q\|_\alpha\leq g\big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}\big)^{(1-\beta)/\beta}\,\|p\|_\beta\ , \tag{87}$$

in which $1/\alpha+1/\beta=2$ and $1/2<\beta<1$. As was shown in section 3 of Ref. [55], this relation leads to the lower entropic bounds (83) and (84). The former is obtained by minimization of a certain function under Eq. (87); the latter is directly obtained by taking the logarithm of Eq. (87). ■

The presented reasonings differ from the previous formulations as follows. It is emphasized in the above proof that an extension to orthogonal sets is actually required only in checking the precondition (35). The expression (86) for transformation elements is immediately obtained in easy way. For two SIC-POVMs $\mathcal{M}=\big\{d^{-1}|\varphi_i\rangle\langle\varphi_i|\big\}$ and $\mathcal{N}=\big\{d^{-1}|\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|\big\}$, the function (82) reads

$$g\big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}\big)=\frac{1}{d}\,\max\left\{\frac{\big|\langle\varphi_i|\phi_j\rangle\,\langle\phi_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\varphi_i\rangle\big|}{\langle\varphi_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\varphi_i\rangle^{1/2}\,\langle\phi_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\phi_j\rangle^{1/2}}:\ \langle\varphi_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\varphi_i\rangle\neq 0,\ \langle\phi_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\phi_j\rangle\neq 0\right\}\ . \tag{88}$$

Substituting this expression into Eqs. (83) and (84) respectively gives uncertainty relations for the SIC-POVMs $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ in terms of Tsallis' and Rényi's entropies. It follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that

$$\big|\langle\phi_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\varphi_i\rangle\big|\leq\langle\varphi_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\varphi_i\rangle^{1/2}\,\langle\phi_j|\boldsymbol{\rho}|\phi_j\rangle^{1/2}\ . \tag{89}$$

Hence, the quantity (88) obeys

$$g\big(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho}\big)\leq\frac{1}{d}\,\max_{ij}\Big\{\big|\langle\varphi_i|\phi_j\rangle\big|\Big\}=:\bar{f}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})\ . \tag{90}$$

Further, the functions $x\mapsto\ln_\mu\big(x^{-2}\big)$ and $x\mapsto -2\ln x$ both decrease. From Eqs. (83) and (84), we then obtain the state-independent uncertainty relations for two SIC-POVMs. Namely, for $1/\alpha+1/\beta=2$ and $\mu=\max\{\alpha,\beta\}$, there holds

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{M}|\boldsymbol{\rho})+H_\beta(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq\ln_\mu\Big(\bar{f}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})^{-2}\Big)\,, \tag{91}$$

$$R_\alpha(\mathcal{M}|\boldsymbol{\rho})+R_\beta(\mathcal{N}|\boldsymbol{\rho})\geq -2\ln\bar{f}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})\ . \tag{92}$$

These lower bounds are uncertainty relations of Maassen–Uffink type. The right-hand sides of Eqs. (91) and (92) are also lower bounds on the sum of corresponding symmetrized entropies. Here, the entropic parameters are put in accordance with Eq. (37).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have established entropic uncertainty relations for mutually unbiased bases as well for symmetric informationally complete POVMs. The Rényi and Tsallis formulations are both presented. The min-entropy uncertainty relations of state-dependent form are separately considered. For a set of several MUBs, we give the state-dependent entropic bounds and their state-independent forms. In terms of Tsallis α-entropies, entropic uncertainty relations are derived for all $\alpha \in (0;2]$. Bounds on the corresponding Rényi α-entropies are obtained for all $\alpha \in [2;\infty)$. We also derived lower bounds of Maassen–Uffink type in term of the so-called symmetrized entropies. The presented uncertainty relations for MUBs are an extension of previous results to generalized entropies. Further, we have considered entropic uncertainty relations for SIC-POVMs. They are based on the fact that the index of coincidence of generated probability distribution has been calculated exactly. This statement is a new important result of own significance. Entropic lower bounds of state-independent form for a single SIC-POVM are obtained in terms of both the Rényi and Tsallis entropies in wide ranges of the entropic parameters. These bounds are essentially stronger than simple entropic relations following from the upper bound on probabilities. Entropic uncertainty relations of Maassen–Uffink type for a pair of SIC-POVMs are also formulated in terms of both the Rényi and Tsallis entropies. In this regard, we propose a new proof of the known uncertainty relation for two rank-one POVMs. With respect to MUBs, the presented consideration can be regarded as a supplement and development of the previously given uncertainty relations. Entropic uncertainty relations for SIC-POVMs are novel. In general, this issue deserves further investigations.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Li Yu for useful comments on the first version of this paper. The author also thanks Christopher Fuchs and Karol Życzkowski for helpful correspondence.

Appendix A: A statement

In this appendix, we derive an inequality used in obtaining lower bounds in terms of the min-entropy. The following statement takes place.

Lemma 3 *Let n positive numbers* x_j *satisfy the two conditions* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j = 1$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2 = b^2$*; then*

$$\max\bigl\{x_j :\, 1 \leq j \leq n\bigr\} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(1+\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{nb^2-1}\right) . \tag{A1}$$

Proof. For definiteness, we will suppose that $x_j \leq x_n$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Applying Jensen's inequality to the convex function $x \mapsto x^2$, we write

$$\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n-1}\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j^2 . \tag{A2}$$

From one of the preconditions, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j = 1 - x_n$. Combining this with (A2) finally leads to the inequality

$$\frac{(1-x_n)^2}{n-1} \leq \sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j^2 . \tag{A3}$$

From another precondition, we further write the restriction

$$(1-x_n)^2 + (n-1)x_n^2 = n\Bigl(x_n - \frac{1}{n}\Bigr)^2 + \frac{n-1}{n} \leq (n-1)b^2 , \tag{A4}$$

which can be expressed as $\bigl(x_n - n^{-1}\bigr)^2 \leq n^{-2}(n-1)\bigl(nb^2-1\bigr)$. Taking the square root, the number x_n is bounded from above by the right-hand side of (A1). ■

An upper bound on vector ∞-norms follows from (A1). For $q \geq 1$, the q-norm of vector $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined as

$$\|u\|_q := \Bigl(\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{n} |u_j|^q\Bigr)^{1/q} . \tag{A5}$$

The case $q=2$ gives the usual Euclidean norm $\|u\|_2$. In the limit $q\to\infty$, the definition (A5) leads to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\infty}:=\max\bigl\{|u|_j :\, 1\leq j\leq n\bigr\} \ . \tag{A6}$$

Substituting $x_j=\|u\|_1^{-1}|u_j|$ into (A1), we immediately obtain the inequality

$$\|u\|_{\infty}\leq\frac{1}{n}\left(\|u\|_1+\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n\|u\|_2^2-\|u\|_1^2}\right) \ . \tag{A7}$$

The inequality (A7) is clearly saturated in the following two cases. In the first, only one of the vector components is non-zero, whence all the q-norms coincide. In the second, the vector components has the same absolute value $\|u\|_1/n$; then $\|u\|_q=n^{(1-q)/q}\,\|u\|_1$. Similar relations can be written for the corresponding Schatten norms of a linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$. We refrain from presenting the details here.

Appendix B: Details related to Eq. (35)

In this appendix, we prove that the transformation with elements (86) obeys Eq. (35) for arbitrary input tuple u. First, we prove Eq. (35) in the case of pure state $\boldsymbol{\rho}=|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. With given $|\psi\rangle$, we can take phase factors in the vectors $|m_i\rangle$ and $|n_j\rangle$ in such a way that the inner products $\langle\psi|m_i\rangle$ and $\langle\psi|n_j\rangle$ are all real positive. Then the transformation elements become $t_{ij}=\langle m_i|n_j\rangle$. Recall that any POVM with rank-one elements can be realized via an orthogonal basis in the space of corresponding dimension (see, e.g., sect. 3.1 of Ref. [60]). By n_{ij}, we denote the components of jth vector $|n_j\rangle$. Due to the completeness relation, d rows of the $d\times N$-matrix $[[n_{ij}]]$ are mutually orthogonal. By adding rows, this matrix can be converted into a unitary $N\times N$-matrix. Its columns written as $|n_j\rangle\oplus|n_j^{\perp}\rangle$ form an orthonormal basis in N-dimensional space $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$. Similarly, we obtain an orthonormal basis of the vectors $|m_i\rangle\oplus|m_i^{\perp}\rangle$ in M-dimensional space $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$, where $M=|\mathcal{M}|$. We now define the two sets of vectors, lying in the space $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$, namely

$$|\widetilde{m}_i\rangle:=\begin{pmatrix}|m_i\rangle\\ |m_i^{\perp}\rangle\\ \mathbf{0}\end{pmatrix} \ , \qquad |\widetilde{n}_j\rangle:=\begin{pmatrix}|n_j\rangle\\ \mathbf{0}\\ |n_j^{\perp}\rangle\end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{B1}$$

Here, columns $\mathbf{0}$ denote zero vectors of the corresponding dimensionality. The sets $\bigl\{|\widetilde{m}_i\rangle\bigr\}$ and $\bigl\{|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle\bigr\}$ are both orthonormal and incomplete. By orthonormality, for any tuple u we have

$$\sum\nolimits_j|u_j|^2=\langle\widetilde{w}|\widetilde{w}\rangle \ , \qquad |\widetilde{w}\rangle=\sum\nolimits_j u_j\,|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle \ . \tag{B2}$$

By construction, the unit vectors (B1) obey $t_{ij}=\langle m_i|n_j\rangle=\langle\widetilde{m}_i|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle$. Hence, the numbers $v_i=\sum_j t_{ij}\,u_j=\langle m_i|\widetilde{w}\rangle$ are components of the orthogonal projection of $|\widetilde{w}\rangle$ onto the subspace $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}$. The squared modulus of this projection is equal to $\sum_i|v_i|^2$ and not larger than $\langle\widetilde{w}|\widetilde{w}\rangle$.

A parallel approach works for mixed states. To given density matrix $\sum_\lambda\lambda\,|\psi_\lambda\rangle\langle\psi_\lambda|=\boldsymbol{\rho}\in\mathcal{L}_{+}(\mathcal{H})$, we assign the density matrix on the extended space $\mathcal{H}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}\oplus\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}:=\sum\nolimits_\lambda\lambda\,|\widetilde{\psi_\lambda}\rangle\langle\widetilde{\psi_\lambda}| \ , \qquad |\widetilde{\psi_\lambda}\rangle:=|\psi_\lambda\rangle\oplus\mathbf{0}\oplus\mathbf{0} \ . \tag{B3}$$

Obviously, we have $\langle m_i|\boldsymbol{\rho}|n_j\rangle=\langle\widetilde{m}_i|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle$ and similarly for other matrix elements of $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. Further, we introduce linear operators

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i:=\langle\widetilde{m}_i|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}|\widetilde{m}_i\rangle^{-1/2}\,|\widetilde{m}_i\rangle\langle\widetilde{m}_i|\,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{1/2} \ , \qquad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_j:=\langle\widetilde{n}_j|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle^{-1/2}\,|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle\langle\widetilde{n}_j|\,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{1/2} \ . \tag{B4}$$

By construction, they obey $\langle\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i\,,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i\rangle_{\mathrm{hs}}=\langle\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_j\,,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_j\rangle_{\mathrm{hs}}=1$. Due to orhonormality of the sets $\bigl\{|\widetilde{m}_i\rangle\bigr\}$ and $\bigl\{|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle\bigr\}$, we also write

$$\langle\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i\,,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_k\rangle_{\mathrm{hs}}=\delta_{ik} \ , \qquad \langle\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_j\,,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_l\rangle_{\mathrm{hs}}=\delta_{jl} \ . \tag{B5}$$

In terms of these operators, the elements of the transformation matrix are merely rewritten as

$$t_{ij}=\frac{\langle\widetilde{m}_i|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle\,\langle\widetilde{n}_j|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}|\widetilde{m}_i\rangle}{\langle\widetilde{m}_i|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}|\widetilde{m}_i\rangle^{1/2}\,\langle\widetilde{n}_j|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}|\widetilde{n}_j\rangle^{1/2}}=\langle\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i\,,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_j\rangle_{\mathrm{hs}}=\delta_{ik} \ . \tag{B6}$$

For given input tuple u, the output numbers are expressed as $v_i = \sum_{ij} t_{ij}\, u_j = \langle \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i \,, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \rangle_{\mathrm{hs}}$, where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \sum_j u_j\, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_j$. Due to Eq. (B5), this operator is also represented as

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \sum\nolimits_i v_i\, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i + \boldsymbol{\varpi} \ , \tag{B7}$$

where $\langle \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i \,, \boldsymbol{\varpi} \rangle_{\mathrm{hs}} = 0$ for all i. Calculating the squared Hilbert–Schmidt norms, we finally obtain

$$\sum\nolimits_i |v_i|^2 = \Big\langle \sum\nolimits_i v_i\, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i \,, \sum\nolimits_i v_i\, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i \Big\rangle_{\mathrm{hs}} \leq \langle \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \,, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \rangle_{\mathrm{hs}} = \sum\nolimits_j |u_j|^2 \ . \tag{B8}$$

Here, the orthonormality property (B5) is essential. Thus, the precondition (35) holds for all inputs.

[1] Heisenberg, W.: Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quanten theoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik. Zeitschrift für Physik **43**, 172–198 (1927)

[2] Busch, P., Heinonen, T., Lahti, P.J.: Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. Phys. Rep. **452**, 155–176 (2007)

[3] Wehner, S., Winter, A.: Entropic uncertainty relations – a survey. New J. Phys. **12**, 025009 (2010)

[4] Białynicki-Birula, I., Rudnicki, Ł.: Entropic uncertainty relations in quantum physics. In Sen, K.D. (ed.): Statistical Complexity, 1–34. Springer, Berlin (2011)

[5] Ambainis, A.: Limits on entropic uncertainty relations. Quantum Information & Computation **10**, 0848–0858 (2010)

[6] Ng, H.Y.N., Berta, M., Wehner, S.: Min-entropy uncertainty relation for finite-size cryptography. Phys. Rev. A **86**, 042315 (2012)

[7] Damgård, I., Fehr, S., Renner, R., Salvail, L., Schaffner, C.: A tight high-order entropic quantum uncertainty relation with applications. In: Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO '07, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4622, 360–378. Springer, Berlin (2007)

[8] Roga, W., Puchała, Z., Rudnicki, Ł., Życzkowski, K.: Entropic trade-off relations for quantum operations. Phys. Rev. A **87**, 032308 (2013)

[9] Wu, S., Yu, S., Mølmer, K.: Complementarity of information sent via different bases. Phys. Rev. A **79**, 022320 (2009)

[10] Petz, D.: Algebraic complementarity in quantum theory. J. Math. Phys. **51**, 015215 (2010)

[11] Schwinger, J.: Unitary operator bases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **46**, 570–579 (1960)

[12] Wootters, W.K., Fields, B.D.: Optimal state-determination by mutually unbiased measurements. Ann. Phys. **191**, 363–381 (1989)

[13] Gottesman, D.: Class of quantum error-correcting codes saturating the quantum Hamming bound. Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1862–1868 (1996)

[14] Calderbank, A.R., Rains, E.M., Shor, P.W., Sloane, N.J.A.: Quantum error correction and orthogonal geometry. Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** 405–408 (1997)

[15] Spengler, C., Huber, M., Brierley, S., Adaktylos, T., Hiesmayr, B.C.: Entanglement detection via mutually unbiased bases. Phys. Rev. A **86**, 022311 (2012)

[16] Vaidman, L., Aharonov, Y., Albert, D.Z.: How to ascertain the values of σ_x, σ_y, and σ_z of a spin-1/2 particle. Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1385–1387 (1987)

[17] Englert, B.-G., Aharonov, Y.: The mean kings problem: prime degrees of freedom. Phys. Lett. A **284**, 1–5 (2001)

[18] Durt, T., Englert, B.-G., Bengtsson, I., Życzkowski, K.: On mutually unbiased bases. Int. J. Quantum Inf. **8**, 535–640 (2010)

[19] Ivanovic, I.D.: An inequality for the sum of entropies of mutually unbiased measurements. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **25**, L363–L364 (1995)

[20] Sánchez, J.: Entropic uncertainty and certainty relations for complementary observables, Phys. Lett. A **173**, 233–239 (1993)

[21] Sánchez-Ruiz, J.: Improved bounds in the entropic uncertainty and certainty relations for complementary observables. Phys. Lett. A **201**, 125–131 (1995)

[22] Rastegin, A.E.: Uncertainty and certainty relations for complementary qubit observables in terms of Tsallis' entropies. Quantum Inf. Process. DOI: 10.1007/s11128-013-0568-y

[23] Ballester, M.A., Wehner, S.: Entropic uncertainty and locking: tight bounds for mutually unbiased bases. Phys. Rev. A **75**, 022319 (2007)

[24] Renes, J.M., Blume-Kohout, R., Scott, A.J., Caves, C.M.: Symmetric informationally complete quantum measurements. J. Math. Phys. **45**, 2171–2180 (2004)

[25] Klappenecker, A., Rötteler, M.: Mutually unbiased bases are complex projective 2-designs. arXiv:quant-ph/0502031 (2005)

[26] Watrous, J.: Theory of Quantum Information. University of Waterloo, Waterloo (2011) http://www.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~watrous/CS766/

[27] Bandyopadhyay, S., Boykin, P.O., Roychowdhury, V., Vatan, F.: A new proof for the existence of mutually unbiased bases. Algorithmica **34**, 512–528 (2002)

[28] Klappenecker, A., Rötteler, M.: Constructions of mutually unbiased bases. In: Finite Fields and Applications, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 2948, 137–144. Springer, Berlin (2004)

[29] Wocjan, P., Beth, T.: New construction of mutually unbiased bases in square dimensions. Quantum Information & Computation **5**, 93–101 (2005)

[30] Bengtsson, I., Bruzda, W., Ericsson, Å., Larsson, J.-Å., Tadej, W., Życzkowski, K.: Mutually unbiased bases and Hadamard matrices of order six. J. Math. Phys. **48**, 052106 (2007)

[31] Peres, A.: Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods. Kluwer, Dordrecht (1993)

[32] Prugovečki, E.: Information-theoretical aspects of quantum measurement. Int. J. Theor. Phys. **16**, 321–331 (1977)

[33] Busch, P.: Informationally complete sets of physical quantities. Int. J. Theor. Phys. **30**, 1217–1227 (1991)

[34] D'Ariano, G.M., Perinotti, P., Sacchi, M.F.: Informationally complete measurements and group representation. J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. **6**, S497–S491 (2004)

[35] Appleby, D.M., Dang, H.B., Fuchs, C.A.: Symmetric informationally-complete quantum states as analogues to orthonormal bases and minimum-uncertainty states. arXiv:0707.2071 [quant-ph] (2007)

[36] Klappenecker, A., Rötteler, M., Shparlinski, I., Winterhof, A.: On approximately symmetric informationally complete positive operator-valued measures and related systems of quantum states. J. Math. Phys. **46**, 082104 (2005)

[37] Rényi, A.: On measures of entropy and information. In: Neyman J. (ed), Proceedings of 4th Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability. Vol. I, 547–561. University of California Press, Berkeley (1961)

[38] Jizba, P., Arimitsu, T.: The world according to Rényi: thermodynamics of multifractal systems. Ann. Phys. **312**, 17–59 (2004)

[39] Wu, S., Yu, S., Mølmer, K.: Entropic uncertainty relation for mutually unbiased bases. Phys. Rev. A **79**, 022104 (2009)

[40] Mandayam, P., Wehner, S., Balachandran, N.: A transform of complementary aspects with applications to entropic uncertainty relations. J. Math. Phys. **51**, 082201 (2010)

[41] Tsallis, C.: Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics. J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 479–487 (1988)

[42] Havrda, J., Charvát, F.: Quantification methods of classification processes: concept of structural α-entropy. Kybernetika **3**, 30–35 (1967)

[43] Furuichi, S.: Information theoretical properties of Tsallis entropies. J. Math. Phys. **47**, 023302 (2006)

[44] Rastegin, A.E.: Convexity inequalities for estimating generalized conditional entropies from below. Kybernetika **48**, 242–253 (2012)

[45] Bengtsson, I., Życzkowski, K.: Geometry of Quantum States: An Introduction to Quantum Entanglement. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)

[46] Rastegin, A.E.: Generalized conditional entropies of partitions on quantum logic. Commun. Theor. Phys. **58**, 819–822 (2012)

[47] Harremoës, P., Topsøe, F.: Inequalities between entropy and index of coincidence derived from information diagrams. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **47**, 2944–2960 (2001)

[48] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E., Polya, G.: Inequalities. Cambridge University Press, London (1934)

[49] Maassen, H., Uffink, J.B.M.: Generalized entropic uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1103–1106 (1988)

[50] Berta, M., Christandl, M., Colbeck, R., Renes, J.M., Renner, R.: The uncertainty principle in the presence of quantum memory. Nature Phys. **6**, 659–662 (2010)

[51] Coles, P.J., Colbeck, R., Yu, L., Zwolak, M.: Uncertainty relations from simple entropic properties. Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 210405 (2012)

[52] Białynicki-Birula, I.: Formulation of the uncertainty relations in terms of the Rényi entropies. Phys. Rev. A **74**, 052101 (2006)

[53] Rastegin, A.E.: Rényi formulations of the entropic uncertainty principle for POVMs. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43**, 155302 (2010)

[54] Rastegin, A.E.: Number-phase uncertainty relations in terms of generalized entropies. Quantum Information & Computation **12**, 0743–0762 (2012)

[55] Rastegin, A.E.: Entropic uncertainty relations for extremal unravelings of super-operators. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44**, 095303 (2011)

[56] Rastegin, A.E.: Entropic uncertainty relations and quasi-Hermitian operators. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**, 444026 (2012)

[57] Rajagopal, A.K.: The Sobolev inequality and the Tsallis entropic uncertainty relation. Phys. Lett. A **205**, 32–36 (1995)

[58] Massar, S.: Uncertainty relations for positive-operator-valued measures. Phys. Rev. A **76**, 042114 (2007)

[59] Krishna, M., Parthasarathy, K.R.: An entropic uncertainty principle for quantum measurements. Sankhyā, Ser. A **64**, 842851 (2002)

[60] Preskill, J.: Quantum Computation and Information. California Institute of Technology, California (1998) http://www.theory.caltech.edu/people/preskill/ph229/